UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,377	04/27/2006	Peter Hubert Windmuller	4662-129	4401
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 12/03/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LU, C CAIXIA		
AKLINOTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,377	WINDMULLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Caixia Lu	1796		

C	Caixia Lu	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF	e same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods:							
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth i r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any particle of the SPE ALTON COLOR OF A PROPERTY.	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	non-with 27 OFD 44 27 mount by 5						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	wints the data of filing a brint	مط لمصمعهم مطاعم النب					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor	responding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _	·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4 and 11</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4 and 11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Nentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary at	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do	oes NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT	ΓΟ/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. \(\sigma\) Other: The Remarks filed one Nov. 17, 2008 are based on the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 of Nov. 17, 2008, however, the							
Declaration is not considered since it is not timely filed.							
	/Caixia Lu/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796					